1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	RICHARD B. GOETZ (S.B. #115666) JACLYN A. BLANKENSHIP (S.B. #267524 rgoetz@omm.com; jblankenship@omm.com O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP 400 S. Hope Street Los Angeles, CA 90071-2899 Telephone: (213) 430-6000 Facsimile: (213) 430-6407 MATTHEW D. POWERS (S.B. #212682) mpowers@omm.com O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP Two Embarcadero Center, 28th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3823 Telephone: (415) 984-8700 Facsimile: (415) 984-8701 Attorneys for Defendant VISA, INC.	
11		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14		
15	RON DAVIS, an individual, on behalf of	Case No. 13-cv-5125-KAW
16	himself and all others similarly situated,	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
17	Plaintiff,	ORDER TO SET BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S
18	v.	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT UNDER L.R. 6-2
19	VISA, INC., a Delaware Corporation,	
20	Defendant.	FAC Filed: December 16, 2013 Trial Date: None Set
21		
22	WHEREAS, Plaintiff Ron Davis ("Plaintiff") filed his Complaint in the above-	
23	entitled action on November 4, 2013;	
24	WHEREAS, Plaintiff served the summons and Complaint on Defendant Visa, Inc.	
25	("Defendant") on November 7, 2013;	
26	WHEREAS, Defendant and Pl	laintiff filed a Joint Stipulation on November 22,
27	2013 to extend the time for Defendant to resp	ond to the initial Complaint to January 8, 2014.
	(Dkt. No. 07.) The Joint Stipulation also noted that Plaintiff intended to file an amended	
28	(Dkt. No. 07.) The Joint Stipulation also note	ed that Plaintiff intended to file an amended

Case 3:13-cv-05125-CRB Document 10 Filed 01/07/14 Page 2 of 6

1	complaint on or about December 9, 2013;
2	WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed and served his First Amended Complaint ("FAC") in
3	the above-entitled action on December 16, 2013;
4	WHEREAS, according to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 15(a)(3),
5	Defendant has until January 8, 2014 to respond to Plaintiff's FAC;
6	WHEREAS, under this agreement and pursuant to L.R. 6-2, Defendant and
7	Plaintiff (the "Parties") have met and conferred regarding a briefing schedule, and due to
8	scheduling difficulties, such as demands in other matters, the holidays, and scheduled vacation
9	plans, the Parties have agreed to the following briefing schedule in order to accommodate such
10	scheduling conflicts:
11	 Defendant's new deadline to file a motion to dismiss, or otherwise respond,
12	to Plaintiff's FAC shall be January 15, 2014;
13	 Plaintiff's deadline to file an Opposition shall be February 14, 2014;
14	 Defendant's deadline to file a Reply shall be February 28, 2014;
15	WHEREAS, there have been no other extensions, either through Joint Stipulations
16	or Court Orders regarding a response to Plaintiff's FAC;
17	WHEREAS, this change will not alter the date of any event or any deadline
18	already fixed by Court order, including the meet and confer and filings regarding ADR on
19	January 14, 2014; the filing of the Rule 26(f) Report on January 28, 2014; or the Initial Case
20	Management Conference on February 4, 2014 at 1:30 P.M.;
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	NOW, THEREFORE, pursuant to Rule 6-2 of the Local Civil Rules of the United	
2	States District Court for the Northern District of California, it is hereby stipulated by and among	
3	Plaintiff and Defendant and through their cou	unsel of record that Defendant shall file its motion to
4	dismiss, or otherwise respond, to Plaintiff's I	FAC on January 15, 2014, Plaintiff shall file his
5	Opposition on February 14, 2014, and Defendant shall file its Reply on February 28, 2014.	
6		
7		
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
9		
10	D-4-1- D121 2012	DICHARD D. COETZ
11	Dated: December 31, 2013	RICHARD B. GOETZ MATTHEW D. POWERS
12		JACLYN BLANKENSHIP O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
13		By: /s/ Jaclyn Blankenship Jaclyn Blankenship
14		Attorneys for Defendant
15		VISA, INC.
16	Dated: December 31, 2013	CHARLES D. MARSHALL MARSHALL LAW FIRM
17		By: /s/ Charles D. Marshall
18		Charles D. Marshall
19		Attorney for Plaintiff RON DAVIS
20		KON DAVIS
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		IODIT CTID AND IDDODOSED ODDED TO SET

1	ATTESTATION OF FILING	
2	Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(i)(3) regarding signatures, I, Jaclyn Blankenship, hereby attest	
3	that concurrence in the filing of this Joint Stipulation and [Proposed] Order to Set Briefing	
4	Schedule for Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint Under L.R. 6-2 has been	
5	obtained from Charles D. Marshall with conformed signatures above.	
6		
7	Dated: December 31, 2013 By: /s/ Jaclyn Blankenship Jaclyn Blankenship	
8	O'MELVENY & MYERŜ LLP 400 South Hope Street	
9	Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 430-6000 Facsimile: (213) 430-6407	
10	Facsimile: (213) 430-6407	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Case 3:13-cv-05125-CRB Document 10 Filed 01/07/14 Page 5 of 6

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
2	The Court having considered the Joint Stipulation and [Proposed] Order to Set Briefing	
3	Schedule for Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint under L.R. 6-2 submitted	
4	by the parties, and good cause appearing:	
5	1. The Stipulation is approved;	
6	2. Defendant shall have until January 15, 2014, to file its Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's	
7	First Amended Complaint;	
8	3. Plaintiff shall have until February 14, 2014, to file any Opposition; and	
9	4. Defendant shall have until February 28, 2014, to file any Reply.	
10		
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
12	DATED: <u>1/6/14</u>	
13		
14	Landes Westmore	
15	 ✓ Hon. Kandis A. Westmore UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
2526		
27		
28		
	d .	

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2	I hereby certify that on December 31, 2013, I electronic	I hereby certify that on December 31, 2013, I electronically filed the foregoing with the	
3	Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send to	Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the	
4	4 e-mail addresses denoted on the Electronic Mail Notice List.		
5	5		
6	6 I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the	I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the	
7	7 foregoing is true and correct.	foregoing is true and correct.	
8	8		
9	Dated: December 31, 2013 RICHARD E MATTHEW	B. GOETZ D. POWERS	
10	JACLYN BL	LANKENSHIP	
11		Y & MYERS LLP	
12	By: /s/ Jacky	n Blankenship	
13	Jacly	n Blankenship	
14	Attorneys for VISA, INC.	r Defendant	
15	15		
16			
	17		
	18		
	19		
	20		
	21		
22			
23			
	24		
25			
	26		
27			
	28		
		CTID AND IDDODOCEDI ODDED TO CET	